**Permanent Supportive Housing – Ranking and Scoring Tool Project Name:**

**Grant ID: \_**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Outcome** | **Max****Points** | **Scoring Thresholds** | **Awarded****Points** |
| **1. Permanent Housing Placements**Source: APR ReportThe % of persons who exited to permanent housing destinations. | 20 | 20 Points: 90 – 100%15 Points: 80 – 89%10 Points: 60 – 79%0 Points: 59% or less |  |
| **2. Utilization Rate**Source: APR ReportThe average % of units that were utilized nightly on all PIT dates. | 10 | 10 Points: 90% or more5 Points: 80 – 89%0 Points: 79% or less |  |
| **3. Employment Income**Source: APR ReportThe % of persons age 18 and older that maintained or increased earned income at program exit. | 10 | 10 Points: 50% or more5 Points: 40 – 49%3 Points: 30 – 39%0 Points: 29% or less |  |
| **4. Cash Benefits**Source: APR ReportThe % of persons age 18 and older that maintained or increased cash income (non-employment) at program exit. | 5 | 5 Points: 75% or more3 Points: 40 – 74%0 Points: 39% or less |  |
| **5. Mainstream Benefits (Non-Cash)**Source: APR ReportThe % of households that maintained or increased non-cash benefits at program exit. | 5 | 5 Points: 75% or more3 Points: 40 – 74%0 Points: 39% or less |  |
| **6. Chronic Homelessness**Source: APR ReportPercent of clients that entered the project during the operating year that were chronically homeless. | 10 | 10 Points: 65% or more5 Points: 50 – 64%0 Points: 49% or less |  |
| **7. 10. VI SPDAT / SPDAT**Percentage of clients accepted into the program that score 12 or higher on VI-SPDAT | 5 | 5 Points: 90% or more0 Points: 89% or less |  |
| **8. Returns to Homelessness**Source: Sys PM ReportPercentage of households who exit to permanent housing destinations and return to homelessness within 1 year. | 10 | 10 Points: 5% or less5 Points: 6 – 10%0 Points: 11% or more |  |
| **9. Severity of Needs**Source: APR ReportPercentage of clients with at least two condition at entry (including SMI, SUD, chronic health conditions, HIV/AIDS,developmental and physical disabilities). | 10 | 10 Points: 90% or more5 Points: 89 - 50%0 Points: 49% or less |  |
| **10. HMIS**Source: HMIS Administrator / Executive DirectorThe extent to which the project: 1. Enters all client data into HMIS, 2. Has satisfactory data quality, and 3. Has satisfactory datatimeliness. | 15 | 15 Points: 3 factors met10 Points: 2 factors met5 Points: 1 factor met0 Points: 0 factors met |  |
| **Total Possible Points** | **100** |  |  |

**Total Points Awarded:**

**Permanent Supportive Housing – KVCCoC Ranking Deductions Tool**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Outcome** | **Max Deduction** | **Scoring Thresholds** | **Deducted Points** |
| **1. Housing First Approach**The extent to which the project follows a housing first approach: 1. Individuals are placed and stabilized in permanent housing without preconditions, and 2. Individuals never face requirements to participate in services as acondition to retain their housing. | -10 | Does the project operate using the Housing First Approach?-10 Points: 0 factors met* 5 Points: 1 factor met
* 0 Points: 2 factors met
 |  |
| **2. Grant Spending**Source: eLOCCSUp to 5 points deducted for failure to spend grant funds in the most recently ended grant year. | -5 | * 5 Points: spent 79% or less
* 3 Points: spent 80 – 89%
* 0 Points: spent 90% or more
 |  |
| **3. History of Recaptures**Source: eLOCCSUp to 5 points deducted for failure to spend grant funds in the previous 3 years. | -5 | * 5 Points: spent 79% or less
* 3 Points: spent 80 – 89%
* 0 Points: spent 90% or more
 |  |
| **4. APR Submission**Source: SAGE10 points deducted if the APR was submitted late or if the APR was rejected by HUD and not amended and correctedwithin 30 days. | -10 | Did the project submit APR in a timely manner?-10 Points: No- 0 Points: Yes |  |
| **5. Coordinated Entry**Source: CE Subcommittee5 points deducted if the program did not coordinate and collaborate with the CoC’s Coordinated Entry system. | -5 | -5 Points: No- 0 Points: Yes |  |
| **6. Exits to Streets**Source: APR Data Tracking Spreadsheet5 points deducted if the percentage of the program’s exits to Places Not Meant for Human Habitation was greater than 5% during the most recently ended grant year. | -5 | Are exits to the street greater than 5%?-5 Points: Yes- 0 Points: No |  |
| **Total Possible Points Deductions** | **40** |  |  |

**Total Points Deducted: --**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **TOTAL** |
| **Points Awarded** |  |
| **Points Deducted** |  |
| **Points Possible** |  |
| **TOTAL SCORE / PERCENTAGE** |  |

**Rapid Rehousing – Ranking and Scoring Tool Project Name: Grant ID: \_**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Outcome** | **Max****Points** | **Scoring Thresholds** | **Awarded****Points** |
| **1. Permanent Housing Placements**Source: APR ReportThe % of persons who exited to permanent housing destinations. | 20 | 20 Points: 90 – 100%15 Points: 80 – 89%10 Points: 60 – 79%0 Points: 59% or less |  |
| **2. Length of Time to Move-In**Source: APR ReportThe average length of time for persons to move into housing. | 10 | 10 Points: 30 days or less5 Points: 31 – 60 days0 Points: 61 days or longer |  |
| **3. Employment Income**Source: APR ReportThe % of persons age 18 and older that maintained or increased earned income at program exit. | 10 | 10 Points: 50% or more5 Points: 40 – 49%3 Points: 30 – 39%0 Points: 29% or less |  |
| **4. Cash Benefits**Source: APR ReportThe % of persons age 18 and older that maintained or increased cash income (non-employment) at program exit. | 5 | 5 Points: 75% or more3 Points: 40 – 74%0 Points: 39% or less |  |
| **5. Mainstream Benefits (Non-Cash)**Source: APR ReportThe % of households that maintained or increased non-cash benefits at program exit. | 5 | 5 Points: 75% or more3 Points: 40 – 74%0 Points: 39% or less |  |
| **6. Chronic Homelessness**Source: APR ReportPercent of clients that entered the project during the operating year that were chronically homeless. | 10 | 10 Points: 65% or more5 Points: 50 – 64%0 Points: 49% or less |  |
| **7. 10. VI SPDAT / SPDAT**Percentage of clients accepted into the program that score 6 or higher on VI-SPDAT | 5 | 5 Points – 90% or more0 Points – 89% or less |  |
| **8. Returns to Homelessness**Source: Sys PM ReportPercentage of households who exit to permanent housing destinations and return to homelessness within 1 year. | 10 | 10 Points: 5% or less5 Points: 6 – 10%0 Points: 11% or more |  |
| **9. Severity of Needs**Source: APR ReportPercentage of clients with at least two condition at entry (including SMI, SUD, chronic health conditions, HIV/AIDS,developmental and physical disabilities). | 10 | 10 Points: 90% or more5 Points: 89 - 50%0 Points: 49% or less |  |
| **10. HMIS**Source: HMIS AdministratorThe extent to which the project: 1. Enters all client data into HMIS, 2. Has satisfactory data quality, and 3. Has satisfactory datatimeliness. | 15 | 15 Points: 3 factors met10 Points: 2 factors met5 Points: 1 factor met0 Points: 0 factors met |  |
| **Total Possible Points** | **100** |  |  |

**Total Points Awarded:**

**Rapid Rehousing – KVCCoC Ranking Deductions Tool**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Outcome** | **Max Deduction** | **Scoring Thresholds** | **Deducted Points** |
| **1. Housing First Approach**Source: Housing First SubcommitteeThe extent to which the project follows a housing first approach: 1. Individuals are placed and stabilized in permanent housing without preconditions, and 2. Individuals never face requirements to participate in services as acondition to retain their housing. | -10 | Does the project operate using the Housing First Approach?-10 Points: 0 factors met* 5 Points: 1 factor met
* 0 Points: 2 factors met
 |  |
| **2. Grant Spending**Source: eLOCCSUp to 5 points deducted for failure to spend grant funds in the most recently ended grant year. | -5 | * 5 Points: spent 79% or less
* 3 Points: spent 80 – 89%
* 0 Points: spent 90% or more
 |  |
| **3. History of Recaptures**Source: eLOCCSUp to 5 points deducted for failure to spend grant funds in the previous 3 years. | -5 | * 5 Points: spent 79% or less
* 3 Points: spent 80 – 89%
* 0 Points: spent 90% or more
 |  |
| **4. APR Submission**Source: SAGE10 points deducted if the APR was submitted late or if the APR was rejected by HUD and not amended and correctedwithin 30 days. | -10 | Did the project submit APR in a timely manner?-10 Points: No- 0 Points: Yes |  |
| **5. Coordinated Entry**Source: CE Subcommittee5 points deducted if the program did not coordinate and collaborate with the CoC’s Coordinated Entry system. | -5 | -10 Points: No- 0 Points: Yes |  |
| **6. Exits to Streets**Source: APR Data Tracking Spreadsheet5 points deducted if the percentage of the program’s exits to Places Not Meant for Human Habitation was greater than 5% during the most recently ended grant year. | -5 | Are exits to the street greater than 5%?-5 Points: Yes- 0 Points: No |  |
| **Total Possible Points Deductions** | **40** |  |  |

**Total Points Deducted: --**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **TOTAL** |
| **Points Awarded** |  |
| **Points Deducted** |  |
| **Points Possible** |  |
| **TOTAL SCORE / PERCENTAGE** |  |